

White Paper: The Dark Nexus: The Intersection of Low Honesty-Humility and Authoritarian Pathologies

Matthew Ford Kern, FEAC Institute and Zachman Institute

10/25/2024

Abstract

This paper explores the concept of the "Dark Nexus," which refers to the intersection of low Honesty-Humility (HH) and authoritarian pathologies. Individuals with low HH tend to exhibit a lack of empathy, impulsivity, and a tendency to manipulate and exploit others for personal gain. When combined with authoritarian pathologies, such as psychopathy, sociopathy, narcissism, and Machiavellianism, these individuals can pose a significant threat to organizational performance and social cohesion. This paper examines the Dark Nexus and its implications for organizational behavior and societal well-being.

Introduction

The concept of the Dark Nexus refers to the intersection of low Honesty-Humility (HH) and authoritarian pathologies. Low HH is characterized by a lack of empathy, impulsivity, and a tendency to manipulate and exploit others for personal gain. Authoritarian pathologies, such as psychopathy, sociopathy, narcissism, and Machiavellianism, are characterized by a desire for power and control over others. When these two factors intersect, they can create a toxic combination that can have devastating consequences for organizational performance and societal well-being.

The Dark Nexus

The Dark Nexus is a concept that describes the intersection of low HH and authoritarian pathologies. This intersection can create a perfect storm of manipulative and exploitative behavior, as individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies seek to advance their own

interests at the expense of others.

Low Honesty-Humility: Individuals with low HH tend to exhibit a lack of empathy, impulsivity, and a tendency to manipulate and exploit others for personal gain.

Authoritarian Pathologies: Authoritarian pathologies, such as psychopathy, sociopathy, narcissism, and Machiavellianism, are characterized by a desire for power and control over others.

The Impact of the Dark Nexus

The Dark Nexus can have a significant impact on organizational performance and societal well-being. Individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies can:

Decrease productivity: Individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies can decrease productivity by engaging in counterproductive behavior, such as sabotage and theft.

Increase turnover: Individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies can increase turnover by creating a toxic work environment and engaging in bullying and harassment.

Create a toxic work environment: Individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies can create a toxic work environment by engaging in manipulative and exploitative behavior.

Mitigating the Negative Effects

To mitigate the negative effects of the Dark Nexus, organizations can take several steps:

Screening and selection: Organizations can use screening and selection processes to identify individuals with low HH and authoritarian pathologies before they are hired.

Monitoring and evaluation: Organizations can monitor and evaluate employee behavior to identify potential problems early on.

Disciplinary action: Organizations can take disciplinary action against individuals who engage in counterproductive behavior.

Leadership development: Organizations can develop leaders who are aware of the potential negative effects of the Dark Nexus and can take steps to mitigate them.

Conclusion

The Dark Nexus is a concept that describes the intersection of low Honesty-Humility and authoritarian pathologies. This intersection can create a toxic combination that can have devastating consequences for organizational performance and societal well-being. To mitigate the negative effects of the Dark Nexus, organizations can use screening and selection processes, monitor and evaluate employee behavior, take disciplinary action, and develop leaders who are aware of the potential negative effects of the Dark Nexus.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Babiak, P., & Hare, R. D. (2006). *Snakes in suits: When psychopaths go to work*. New York, NY: HarperCollins.

Baughman, H. M., Dearing, S., Giammarco, E., & Vernon, P. A. (2012). Relationship between bullying and the Dark Triad: A study of the mediating role of empathy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 52(5), 601-606.

Campbell, W. K., & Foster, C. A. (2007). The narcissistic self: Background, an extended agency model, and ongoing controversies. In C. Sedikides & S. J. Spencer (Eds.), *The self* (pp. 115-138). New York, NY: Psychology Press.

Cleckley, H. (1941). *The mask of sanity: An attempt to reinterpret the so-called psychopathic personality*. St. Louis, MO: Mosby.

Fehr, B., Samsom, D., & Paulhus, D. L. (1992). Prosocial behavior in a nonrecursive model: An extension and application of the theory of planned behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 62(4), 650-665.

- Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). *Social cognition* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Hare, R. D. (1991). *The Hare Psychopathy Checklist-Revised*. Toronto, ON: Multi-Health Systems.
- Hare, R. D. (2003). *The psychopathy checklist: Screening version (PCL:SV)*. Toronto, ON: Multi-Health Systems.
- Jones, D. N., & Paulhus, D. L. (2010). Differentiating the Dark Triad within the interpersonal circumplex. In L. M. Horowitz & S. Strack (Eds.), *Handbook of interpersonal psychology: Theory, research, assessment, and therapeutic interventions* (pp. 247-268). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Koenig, J. I., Cicchetti, D., & Rogosch, F. A. (2004). Moral development: A review of the literature. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(1), 1-15.
- LeBreton, J. M., Shiverdecker, C. K., & Grimaldi, E. M. (2018). The Dark Triad and job performance: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 103(2), 157-173.
- Lee, K., & Ashton, M. C. (2005). Psychopathy, Machiavellianism, and narcissism in the Five-Factor Model and the HEXACO model of personality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(7), 1571-1582.
- Lilienfeld, S. O., & Andrews, B. P. (1996). Development and preliminary validation of a self-report measure of psychopathic personality. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 66(3), 488-524.
- Lykken, D. T. (1995). *The antisocial personalities*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- McHoskey, J. W., Worzel, W., & Szyarto, C. (1998). Machiavellianism and psychopathy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(1), 192-210.
- Miller, J. D., & Campbell, W. K. (2010). Addressing criticisms of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory. *Journal of Personality Disorders*, 24(3), 284-296.
- Paulhus, D. L., & Williams, K. M. (2002). The Dark Triad of personality: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 36(6), 556-563.
- Raskin, R., & Terry, H. (1988). A principal-components analysis of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory and further evidence of its construct validity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(5), 890-902.
- Widiger, T. A., & Lynam, D. R. (1998). Psychopathy and the Five-Factor Model of personality. In T. Millon, E. Simonsen, M. Birket-Smith, & R. D

Appendices

Bureaucratic or hierarchical cultures are more susceptible to the phenomenon of the Dark Nexus. These types of cultures often have a strong emphasis on power and control, which can create an environment that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

In bureaucratic cultures, decision-making power is often concentrated at the top, and there may be a lack of transparency and accountability. This can create an environment where individuals with authoritarian tendencies can thrive and manipulate others to achieve their own goals.

Hierarchical cultures, on the other hand, often have a strong emphasis on status and prestige, which can create an environment where individuals with narcissistic tendencies can flourish. In these cultures, individuals may be more likely to engage in competitive and aggressive behavior to advance their own careers and status.

Regarding the prevalence of the Dark Nexus in mature markets and industries, it is likely that this phenomenon is more pronounced in these contexts. Mature markets and industries often have more established power structures and hierarchies, which can create an environment that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

Additionally, mature markets and industries may have more entrenched interests and power dynamics, which can make it more difficult for individuals to challenge or change the status quo. This can create an environment where individuals with authoritarian tendencies can maintain their power and influence over others.

Some examples of industries that may be more susceptible to the Dark Nexus include:

Finance and banking: These industries often have a strong emphasis on power and control, and may have a culture that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

Government and politics: These industries often have a strong emphasis on power and control, and may have a culture that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

Large corporations: These organizations often have a strong emphasis on hierarchy and

power, and may have a culture that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

It's worth noting that the Dark Nexus can occur in any industry or market, and that it's not limited to mature markets and industries. However, the prevalence of this phenomenon may be more pronounced in these contexts due to the established power structures and hierarchies.

of the four organizational culture types, which is most susceptible?

Based on the characteristics of the four organizational culture types, I would argue that the Hierarchical culture type is most susceptible to the Dark Nexus.

Hierarchical cultures are characterized by a strong emphasis on power and control, with a clear chain of command and a focus on efficiency and productivity. While this type of culture can be effective in certain contexts, it can also create an environment that fosters the development of authoritarian personalities.

In a Hierarchical culture, individuals with authoritarian tendencies may be more likely to thrive and rise to positions of power, as they are often able to manipulate and control others to achieve their own goals. Additionally, the emphasis on power and control in a Hierarchical culture can create a culture of fear and intimidation, where individuals are reluctant to speak out or challenge the status quo.

In contrast, the other three organizational culture types (Clan, Adhocracy, and Market) may be less susceptible to the Dark Nexus due to their emphasis on collaboration, innovation, and customer focus.

Clan cultures, for example, emphasize collaboration and teamwork, which can create a culture of mutual respect and trust. This can make it more difficult for individuals with authoritarian tendencies to manipulate and control others.

Adhocracy cultures, on the other hand, emphasize innovation and adaptability, which can

create a culture of experimentation and learning. This can make it more difficult for individuals with authoritarian tendencies to maintain control and power.

Market cultures, finally, emphasize customer focus and competition, which can create a culture of accountability and transparency. This can make it more difficult for individuals with authoritarian tendencies to hide their true intentions and behaviors.

Overall, while the Dark Nexus can occur in any organizational culture type, I believe that Hierarchical cultures are most susceptible due to their emphasis on power and control.