White Paper: The Dynamics of Culture and Cooperation: A Framework for Understanding Federated Cultures

Matthew Ford Kern, FEAC Institute & Zachman Institute

10/25/2024

Abstract

Culture plays a crucial role in facilitating cooperation and collaboration within groups. However, as groups grow and expand, they often face challenges in maintaining a unified culture. This paper proposes a framework for understanding federated cultures, where wider groups share a core culture while preserving unique subcultures. We draw on existing research in organizational culture, social identity theory, and cooperation to explore the dynamics of culture and cooperation, including the full range of culture, including behavioral, material, and symbolic culture.

Introduction

Culture is a complex and multifaceted construct that has been studied extensively in various fields, including organizational behavior, sociology, and anthropology (Hofstede, 2001; Schein, 2010). At its core, culture refers to the shared values, norms, practices, behaviors, and material objects that define a group's identity and guide its behavior (Kroeber & Kluckhohn, 1952). In the context of cooperation, culture plays a critical role in facilitating collaboration and mutual understanding among group members (Cohen & Bailey, 1997).

A group's culture can be understood as consisting of three interrelated components: symbolic culture, behavioral culture, and material culture. Symbolic culture refers to the abstract, intangible aspects of a culture, such as values, norms, language, and symbols (Geertz, 1973). Behavioral culture refers to the patterns of behavior and interactions that group members exhibit, including communication styles, decision-making processes, and conflict resolution methods (Schein, 2010). Material culture refers to the physical objects, tools, and environments that a group uses to achieve its goals (Appadurai, 1986).

These three components of culture are essential for a group to be able to cooperate effectively. Symbolic culture provides a shared identity and sense of belonging among group members, while behavioral culture enables group members to interact and communicate effectively. Material culture provides the physical resources and infrastructure necessary for group members to work together towards a common goal.

The Spectrum of Cooperation and Competition

Cooperation and competition are not mutually exclusive, but rather exist on a spectrum (Axelrod, 1984). At one end of the spectrum, cooperation is characterized by mutual support and collaboration, while at the other end, competition is marked by rivalry and conflict. In between, there are various shades of cooperation and competition, including cooperation with a competitive edge (Camerer, 2003).

In the context of culture, this spectrum is reflected in the degree of harmony and alignment among group members. A harmonious culture is one where the symbolic, behavioral, and material aspects are aligned and support each other, creating an environment where individuals feel valued, respected, and motivated to work together (Schein, 2010). On the other hand, a discordant culture is marked by conflicting values, norms, and practices, leading to competition and conflict among group members.

Federated Cultures

Federated cultures are characterized by a shared core culture that is preserved across different subgroups, while allowing for unique subcultures to emerge and thrive (Galbraith, 1973). This approach enables groups to achieve greater scale and cooperation while still respecting and valuing the differences between subgroups.

In a federated culture, the core culture serves as a common language and shared identity that unites group members across different subgroups. At the same time, subcultures are allowed to preserve their unique characteristics and differences, which can foster innovation, creativity,

and diversity (Hofstede, 2001).

The Benefits of Federated Cultures

Federated cultures offer several benefits, including increased cooperation and collaboration, improved innovation and creativity, and enhanced diversity and inclusion (Cohen & Bailey, 1997). By preserving unique subcultures, federated cultures can also promote a sense of belonging and identity among group members, which can lead to increased motivation and engagement (Schein, 2010).

Conclusion

In conclusion, culture plays a critical role in facilitating cooperation and collaboration within groups. A group's culture consists of symbolic, behavioral, and material components, which are essential for effective cooperation. Federated cultures, where wider groups share a core culture while preserving unique subcultures, offer a promising approach for achieving greater scale and cooperation. By understanding the dynamics of culture and cooperation, groups can create a harmonious and aligned culture that supports mutual understanding and collaboration.

References:

Appadurai, A. (1986). The social life of things: Commodities in cultural perspective. Cambridge University Press.

Axelrod, R. (1984). The evolution of cooperation. Basic Books.

Camerer, C. F. (2003). Behavioral game theory: Experiments in strategic interaction. Princeton University Press.

Cohen, S. G., & Bailey, D. E. (1997). What makes teams work: Group effectiveness research from the shop floor to the executive suite. Journal of Management, 23(3), 239-290.

Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. Academy of Management Review, 14(1), 20-39.

Bate, P. (1994). Strategies for cultural change. Butterworth-Heinemann.

Deal, T. E., & Kennedy, A. A. (1982). Corporate cultures: The rites and rituals of corporate life. Addison-Wesley.

Giddens, A. (1984). The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration. University of California Press.

Hatch, M. J. (1993). The dynamics of organizational culture. Academy of Management Review, 18(4), 657-693.

Kotter, J. P., & Heskett, J. L. (1992). Corporate culture and performance. Free Press.

Martin, J. (1992). Cultures in organizations: Three perspectives. Oxford University Press.

Ouchi, W. G. (1981). Theory Z: How American business can meet the Japanese challenge. Addison-Wesley.

Pettigrew, A. M. (1979). On studying organizational cultures. Administrative Science Quarterly, 24(4), 570-581.

Trice, H. M., & Beyer, J. M. (1993). The cultures of work organizations. Prentice Hall.